## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

CRYSTAL NEAL,

v.

Plaintiff,

No. 2:18-cv-00696-GJF-KRS

H8H, LLC, d/b/a HARD 8 HAULING, LLC; NATHAN CHAREST; and WAL-MART TRANSPORTATION, LLC,

Defendants.

## **SCHEDULING ORDER**

**THIS MATTER** comes before the Court following a telephonic Rule 16 scheduling conference held on November 7, 2018. At the hearing, the Court adopted the parties' proposed Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan, except for minor modifications discussed on the record and included in the dates below.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the parties shall follow the following discovery plan:

- (a) Maximum of fifty interrogatories per party to the other party with responses due thirty days after service.
- (b) Maximum of fifty requests for production per party to the other party with responses due 30 thirty days after service.
- (c) Maximum of twenty five requests for admission per party to the other party with responses due 30 thirty days after service.
- (d) Maximum of fifteen depositions by each party. Depositions shall not exceed four (4) hours unless extended by the parties' agreement during the deposition.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the following case management deadlines shall govern:

- (a) Deadline for Plaintiff to amend pleadings and/or join parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15: **November 23, 2018**;
- (b) Deadline for Defendants to amend pleadings and/or join parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15: **January 7, 2019**;
- (c) Plaintiff's expert-disclosure deadline: **January 30, 2019**;
- (d) Defendant's expert-disclosure: March 1, 2019;
- (e) Deadline for supplementing discovery/disclosures: thirty days after receiving information triggering an obligation to supplement;
- (f) Termination of discovery: May 1, 2019;
- (g) Motions relating to discovery: May 30, 2019;
- (h) All other motions, including dispositive and *Daubert* motions: **June 17, 2019**;
- (i) Pretrial order: Plaintiff to Defendants by: **July 8, 2019**;

Defendant to Court by: July 15, 2019.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court must approve any changes to the timing or scope of discovery, other than the parties' agreement to extend the length of a deposition beyond six hours made during the deposition in question. Requests by a party to change the timing or scope of discovery, other than a mutual agreement to extend a deposition reached during the deposition, must be made by motion and before the termination of discovery or the expiration of any applicable deadline. Discovery must be completed on or before the termination of the discovery deadline. A written discovery request must be propounded by a date so that the response to that request shall be due on or before the discovery deadline. The parties are further

reminded that the cutoff for motions related to discovery does not relieve the party of the 21-day time period under Local Rule 26.6 to challenge a party's objections to answering discovery. The parties are encouraged to review Federal Rule of Procedure 26(a)(2) to ensure they properly disclose *all* testifying witnesses, not just those for whom a report is required.

KEVIN R. SWEAZEA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE